

REMARKS

By the foregoing Amendment, Claims 148, 150, 153 and 178 have been amended. Claims 148, 150-153 and 165-178 remain pending. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Claims 148, 150-153 and 178 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, on the grounds of indefiniteness. The Examiner indicated the terms "polyhedral" and "hexahedral" as applied to the expanded secondary configuration of the coil arms was incorrect because the coil arms did not have the flat faces and straight edges of a polyhedron. Accordingly the terms "polyhedral" and "hexahedral" have been deleted in the claims. Claims 148 and 153 have also been amended to provide proper antecedent basis for the term "said central body." It is therefore believed that the rejection of Claims 148, 150-153 and 178 on the grounds of indefiniteness can be withdrawn.

Claims 148, 153, 165, 170-173, 174-177 and 178 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims 128, 136, 112, 113-16, 118-121 and 136 of copending Application No. 11/435,373.

Claim 150 was provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claim 128 of copending Application No. 11/435,373 in view of Brown et al.

Claim 152 was provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claim 128 of copending Application No. 11/435,373 in view of Phelps et al.

Claims 167 and 168 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claim 112 of copending Application No. 11/435,373 in view of Phelps et al.

Claim 169 was provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of claim 112 of copending Application No. 11/435,373 in view of Ritchart et al.

It is believed that with the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer with respect to copending Application No. 11/435,373, the rejections on the grounds of obviousness-type double patenting can be withdrawn.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication that Claim 166 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. However, it is believed that with the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer with respect to copending Application No. 11/435,373, Claims 165-177 should be allowable.

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application should now be in condition for allowance, and an early favorable action in this regard is respectfully requested.

The fee for the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer will be paid by credit card with this electronic transmission. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiencies or fees in connection with this amendment to Deposit Account No. 06-2425.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LLP

By: / James W. Paul /
James W. Paul
Reg. No. 29,967

JWP/eab
Encl: Terminal Disclaimer

Howard Hughes Center
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Telephone: (310) 824-5555
Facsimile: (310) 824-9696
Customer No. 24201